

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigunia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET-NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/787,902	07/20/2001	Vik Arild	ARIL3001/REF	7002
7:	590 09/03/2003			10
Bacon & Thomas			EXAMINER	
625 Slaters Lane Fourth Floor			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
Alexandria, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	TA-15-2046)				
Office Action Commons	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	Examinen	Group Art Unit				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address –				
Period for Reply	_	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 5	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, espailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minic expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
Status A Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/20/02		<u> </u>				
☐ This action is FINAL.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0 	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims	·					
© Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s) Solution Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s)						
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		•				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece	eived.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rece	ived in Application No)				
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents h	* Company of the Comp					
in this national stage application from the International B		a))				
*Certified copies not received:		•				
Attachment(s)	~					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	erview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	[*] □ No	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		her EP 1938 not found				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. _______

Application/Control Number: 09/787,902

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Voet et al. article.

Voet teaches on pg. 135-136 decomposing methane to deposit carbon on particulate carbon. No mention is made of forming hydrogen, however this is deemed to occur since the hydrogen is not otherwise accounted for. The carbon substrate is 'micropulverized' to a size of 1800A which is deemed to be indistinguishable from 'dust'.

Claims 5-9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 118263.

The reference teaches in ex. 1 heating carbon particles by heat exchange from another process, then depositing carbon on them from the decomposition of a hydrocarbon. The product can be milled and recycled. The reference does not teach 'powder', however using a powder therein is an obvious expedient to provide a carbon source on which deposition can occur and which is fine enough to have a sufficient residence time for the reaction.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A) In claim 5, 'closed ' is unclear, especially in view of the steps of claims 6 and 7.
- B) Claims 7 and 16 are unclear as to the meaning of 'high'.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754